



29003161
DAC

Mail Stop Office of Petition/Patent
Commissioner for Patents
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
USA

In re Application of
Ching-Ming Chang
Application No. 10/033,472
Filed: December 24, 2001

Date: June 6, 2005

Dear Mr. Edward J. Tannonse:

Re: Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a)

Thank you for your petition decision mailed on: May 27, 2005.

Since "this petition lacks items (1) and (3), the petitioner respectfully submits these two items as follows:

For item (1), a reply is submitted as enclosed Amendment.

For item (3), a showing to the satisfaction of the Commissioner that the entire delay.....was unavoidable" is hereby submitted as follows:

A. The petitioner did not receive the Office Action by mail, so that he had early submitted to PTO with several "incoming letters" trying to know the detailed rejection reasons, Petitioner only knew that a final action was mailed on 4/20/04, but unable to know the detail content of the Action through the PAIR system as available in USPTO.

- B. The petitioner finally got the content of the Office Action from the "Image File Wrapper" of the PAIR system (Note: It can not be retrieved from PAIR for the "Image" before). But, it was too late so that the Response/amendment was "late" filed to PTO on the last day (10/20/2004). Even it is timely filed, the Response/amendment as filed in such an urgent and hurry time, would not be "proper".
- C. Maybe, such a hurry Response/amendment may cause the Examiner's negligence and did not examine the merit of this application, thereby giving no way for examining the patentability of this application.
- D. Petitioner does not complain the USPTO PAIR system because he is an individual inventor/applicant, having financial problem to authorize a U.S. patent attorney for the prosecution of the U.S. patent application. So, he just tries to retrieve the related information from PTO PAIR system by "trial-and-error".
- E. Unfortunately, the petitioner also has not timely received the final Office Action (mailed 4/20/2004) by postal mail, thereby causing such an "unavoidable delay".
- Accordingly, this application is respectfully requested to be revived for further consideration of the patentability.

Respectfully submitted:

By: Ching-Ming Chang
Ching-Ming Chang
June 6, 2005